A review of chest X-ray in pulmonary hypertension: correlation of right descending pulmonary artery diameter with mean pulmonary artery pressure
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ABSTRACT

Background: Pulmonary hypertension is a condition where there is an increase in mean pulmonary artery pressure measured at ≥25 mmHg. The gold standard in diagnosing this condition is right heart catheterization. Enlargement of right descending pulmonary artery on chest radiographs is a sign of pulmonary hypertension. However, the value of radiographic measurements reported was diverse. The study analyzes the correlation between the right descending pulmonary artery (RDPA) diameter on chest radiographs and mean pulmonary artery pressure on right heart catheterization to understand whether RDPA diameter on chest X-ray could be a predictor in determining the severity of pulmonary hypertension.

Methods: Thirty-five subjects were reviewed to compare RDPA diameter from a chest X-ray on posteroanterior projection and mPAP value from the right heart catheterization. The correlation between them was analyzed using Pearson’s correlation test. RDPA diameter cut-off point was defined using the ROC curve.

Result: RDPA diameter and mPAP revealed a high positive correlation (p<0.001; r=0.824). The cut-off value of the RDPA diameter was 21.8 mm (sensitivity 81% and specificity 85.7%; AUC =0.9).

Conclusion: The measurement of right descending pulmonary artery diameter on chest x-ray positively correlates with mean pulmonary artery pressure. Therefore, the diameter of the right pulmonary artery on the chest x-ray can predict the severity of pulmonary hypertension.
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INTRODUCTION

Pulmonary hypertension is pathological changes of the pulmonary circulation that results in increased pulmonary artery pressure. It is defined as an increase in mean pulmonary arterial pressure (mPAP) ≥ 25 mmHg by right heart catheterization.¹ The increased intravascular pressure can be caused by various factors, resulting in pathological changes in the vessel wall, with the occurrence of vascular remodeling. These conditions lead to vascular remodeling result in hypertrophy and dilation.²

Regardless of the various etiological cause, pulmonary hypertension can manifest with or without clinical signs and symptoms. If pulmonary hypertension is not resolved immediately, it will be worsening, resulting in severe right heart dysfunction and death. A clinician must make the diagnosis of pulmonary hypertension as early as possible.

Definite diagnosis of pulmonary hypertension is made by right heart catheterization. However, this is a high-risk invasive procedure, costly, and requires a complex equipment and experts so not all hospitals can carry out this procedure. Therefore, an alternative diagnostic tool is required to predict pulmonary arterial pressure.³

Imaging modalities, such as computed tomography (CT), magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), and chest X-ray, can assess pulmonary vascular dilation, cardiac and lung parenchyma changes. Chest X-ray examination of the posteroanterior position has shown a good correlation in predicting pulmonary hypertension by measuring the right descending pulmonary artery diameter. A study by Lin et al. proved a correlation between right descending pulmonary artery (RDPA) diameter and systolic pulmonary artery pressure (sPAP) by echocardiography in 229 subjects and Chhabra et al. conducted a study on 50 patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD).⁴ It has proven there is a correlation between the hilar thoracic index and RDPA diameter with sPAP and mPAP echocardiography.⁵

In previous studies, the measurement of arterial pressure was obtained using echocardiography. In this study, it was determined by right heart catheterization as the gold standard procedure in measuring arterial pressure. Previous studies also did not provide the cut-off point of pulmonary artery diameter as a predictor of severity pulmonary hypertension. Aside from that,
chest X-ray is the most frequently used and widely available, so it inspired the authors to analyze the correlation of the right descending pulmonary artery diameter on conventional chest X-ray with mean pulmonary arterial pressure (mPAP) as a predictor of pulmonary hypertension.

**METHODS**

This research was a retrospective and observational analytic study with a cross-sectional design. Samples obtained from the medical records of patients with pulmonary hypertension who underwent right heart catheterization at Dr. Kariadi Hospital, from January 2018 to July 2020.

A consecutive sampling method was used in this study. Medical record data were collected from patients who underwent right heart catheterization and chest radiographs in Dr. Kariadi Hospital Semarang, and selected using inclusion criteria. The mPAP value data obtained from the results of the right heart catheterization examination.

The inclusion criteria are patients that more than equal to 18 year old, have mPAP more than equal to twenty-five millimeters of mercury in right heart catheterization procedure, and chest X-ray is taken on the same day before or at least 2 months before cardiac catheterization procedure. The exclusion criteria are chest x-ray examination with inadequate inspiration and mediastinal mass.

Data on the right descending pulmonary artery diameter were obtained from chest X-ray reader using Radiant dicom 1.3.9 Beta software with 100% magnification and the same brightness or penetration, which was confirmed from the vertebral bodies should just be visible through the heart.

Measurement of the right descending pulmonary artery diameter on a chest X-ray was performed by measuring the transverse diameter at the most proximal point of the right descending pulmonary artery and perpendicular to the lumen structure of this vessels. Measurements were taken three times and calculated to get the mean RDPA diameter to avoid parallax errors.

Data analysis is carried out in three steps. First, descriptive step, the data was verified once it was collected entirely.

Then, data will be presented in tabulated form, either single or cross.

Second, bivariate analysis was done to test the hypothesis. We use Pearson Correlation Test because the data was normally distributed. Lastly, we determined the cut-off point and the confidence interval based on the Receiver operating characteristic curve (ROC) and the highest sensitivity and specificity.

**RESULTS**

Eighty-four subjects underwent right heart catheterization during that period and had a chest X-ray examination at Dr. Kariadi Hospital. In the process of obtaining the data, there were some difficulties. First, the absence of some chest X-ray file. Second, some patients were ruled out from this study because of hilar area was superpositioned with the heart border or close opacity which could be a suspicion of lymphadenopathy or a mediastinal mass. Thirty-five subjects met the criteria for this study.

The 35 subjects consist of 9 men (25.7%) and 26 women (74.3%), the youngest is 18 year old and the oldest is 66 year old. In this study classified into mild, moderate, and severe categories as mPAP 25–35, 35–45, and >45 mmHg, respectively (Table 1). The mPAP results are mild pulmonary hypertension in 8 subjects, moderate pulmonary hypertension in 6 subjects, and severe pulmonary hypertension in 21 subjects (Table 2).

Right descending pulmonary artery diameter based on mPAP category.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Mean ± SD</th>
<th>Median</th>
<th>Minimum - Maximum</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>mPAP (mmHg)</td>
<td>48.8 ± 17.2</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>25 - 78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RDPA (mm)</td>
<td>22.90 ± 5.15</td>
<td>22.60</td>
<td>13.5 – 33.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sensitivity 81% dan specificity 85.7%.

**Table 1. Characteristics of research subjects (n=35)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>Median</th>
<th>Minimum</th>
<th>Maximum</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Category mPAP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mild</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>11.4</td>
<td>26.5</td>
<td>7.21</td>
<td>25.1</td>
<td>18.0</td>
<td>33.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>17.1</td>
<td>22.8</td>
<td>5.16</td>
<td>21.4</td>
<td>17.0</td>
<td>27.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>60.1</td>
<td>23.8</td>
<td>5.67</td>
<td>23.2</td>
<td>18.9</td>
<td>28.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>25.7</td>
<td>23.9</td>
<td>5.77</td>
<td>23.0</td>
<td>18.8</td>
<td>28.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>74.3</td>
<td>73.4</td>
<td>4.52</td>
<td>72.3</td>
<td>60.1</td>
<td>85.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>17.1</td>
<td>33.2</td>
<td>12.2</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>66</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 2. Results of measurements of mPAP and diameter of the right descending pulmonary artery (RDPA) (n= 35).**

**Table 3. The right descending pulmonary artery diameter based on the mPAP category.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>mPAP</th>
<th>Mean ± SD</th>
<th>Median</th>
<th>Minimum - Maximum</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mild</td>
<td>18.25 ± 1.12</td>
<td>18.35</td>
<td>16.8 – 19.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>22.0 ± 2.87</td>
<td>21.25</td>
<td>18.9 – 25.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe</td>
<td>23.86 ± 5.57</td>
<td>23.9</td>
<td>13.5 – 33.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 4. Analysis of the cut-off point of the right descending pulmonary artery diameter.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RDPA Diameter</th>
<th>mPAP</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>RR (95% CI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&quot;wide&quot; (≥21.8 mm)</td>
<td>17 (89.5%)</td>
<td>2 (10.5%)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;not wide&quot; (&lt;21.8 mm)</td>
<td>4 (25.0%)</td>
<td>12 (75.0%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sensitivity 81% dan specificity 85.7%.
were normally distributed (p>0.05), and the test results obtained p values <0.001 and r = 0.824 which proved strong significant evidence between mean pulmonary arterial pressure and the diameter of the right descending pulmonary artery diameter with a positive tendency.

In this study, a statistical analysis of ROC (operating characteristics of the recipient) was performed to determine the cut-off point of the right descending pulmonary artery diameter. This cut-off point is expected to be useful in determining the severity of pulmonary hypertension from chest X-ray. Determination of cut-off point was using 2 categories. Severe is defined as > 45 mmHg and non-severe is defined as ≤45 mmHg. From this study, the cut-off results were 21.8 mm with a fairly large area under the curve with a sensitivity of 81% and a specificity of 85.7% (Table 4).

DISCUSSION
In this study, the right descending pulmonary artery (RDPA) diameter on chest x-ray significantly correlated with mPAP on right heart catheterization. Overall results of this study showed that RDPA diameter is the parameter that can predict pulmonary artery hypertension. The cut-off point for diagnosing pulmonary artery hypertension is 21.8 mm with specificity was 85.7% and sensitivity was 81%.

Chest X-ray is a simple, affordable, and widely available imaging modality that is expected to be useful in determining the severity of pulmonary hypertension from chest X-ray. Determination of cut-off point was using 2 categories. Severe is defined as > 45 mmHg and non-severe is defined as ≤45 mmHg. From this study, the cut-off results were 21.8 mm with a fairly large area under the curve with a sensitivity of 81% and a specificity of 85.7% (Table 4).

CONCLUSION
In conclusion, evaluating the RDPA diameter on Chest X-Ray is a valuable method to predict severity pulmonary hypertension. The cut-off point to predict the severity of pulmonary hypertension is 21.8 mm. We hoped that this research could be a preliminary for further study and there will be further research using different imaging modalities.

ETHICAL APPROVAL

CONFLICTS OF INTEREST
The authors declare no conflict of interest.

FUNDING
No specific funding was provided for this article.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS
Tara Nareswari: conceived of the presented idea, developed the theory and performed the computations, processed the experimental data, performed the analysis, and drafted the manuscript.
Christina Hari Nawangsih Priharsanti, Hermina Sukmaningtyas, Farah Hendara Ningrum, Bambang Satoto, Antonius Gunawan Santoso: support, supervised this study, contributed to the design and implementation of the research. All authors provided critical feedback and helped shape the research, analysis, and manuscript.

REFERENCES