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INTRODUCTION

Transnasal Esophagoscopy (TNE) is a small-caliber flexible esophagoscopy technique performed transnasally to evaluate the esophageal lumen. This technique aims to observe the entire upper aerodigestive tract, from the vestibule of the nose to the gastroesophageal junction, to examine the actions of water flushing, water insufflation, suction and biopsy of the esophagus.¹,³

The TNE diagnostic procedure evaluates patients with dysphagia, esophageal stricture, laryngopharyngeal reflux, esophageal foreign body, and other disorders. The patient is treated sitting, where the scope is inserted through the nose under topical anesthesia without sedation. This examination can be carried out easily, safely and effectively.⁴,⁵

On the contrary, conventional (rigid) esophagoscopy requires routine general anesthesia and carries a risk of aspiration, hypoventilation and cardiopulmonary complications.

METHODS

The study was conducted retrospectively by taking data from the activity register of the bronchoesophagology division of the outpatient unit of ORL-HNS Dr. Soetomo General Academic Hospital. TNE report book and patient medical records were collected to have the data. The observation period was from 2013 to 2017. Data were analyzed using SPSS version 25.0 for Windows.

RESULTS

Patients met the inclusion and exclusion criteria were 99 patients, with a male-to-female ratio of 2.3: 1. Most endoscopic findings were normal esophagus (56.57%). Existing abnormal findings included esophageal stenosis (18.18%), esophageal mucosal lesions (14.14%), and esophageal tumors (11.11%). TNE examination complications were found to be 1%.

Conclusion: TNE is widely used in patients aged 51-60, with dysphagia, reflux or Globus as the most common indications. TNE is quite safe to do in an outpatient hospital setting.
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Table 1. Characteristics of respondents

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Male (n=73)</th>
<th>Female (n=26)</th>
<th>Percentage (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&lt; 11 Years</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11-20 Years</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21-30 Years</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31-40 Years</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>8.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41-50 Years</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>14.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51-60 Years</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>34.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>61-70 Years</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>21.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>71-80 Years</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>11.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt; 80 Years</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Patient characteristics based on TNE indications and endoscopic findings

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Total (n=99)</th>
<th>Percentage (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Inspection Indication</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dysphagia, reflux, globus</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>46.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Head and neck malignancy screening</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>38.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Esophageal foreign body Evaluation</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>13.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tracheoesophageal fistula Evaluation</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Endoscopic Findings</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>56.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Esophageal stenosis</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>18.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Esophageal mucosal lesions</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>14.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Esophageal tumor</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>11.11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. Patient characteristics based on TNE indications

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Inspection indication</th>
<th>Normal</th>
<th>Stenosis</th>
<th>Lesions</th>
<th>Tumor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dysphagia, reflux, globus</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Head and neck malignancy screening</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Esophageal foreign body evaluation</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tracheoesophageal fistula evaluation</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

RESULTS

Demographic data in this study were divided based on age and gender. The mean age of the patients in the study was 52 years, with an age range of 16-77 years. Most patients were in the age range 51-60 years, as many as 34 people (34.35%). Most patients were male (69.69%), with a male-to-female ratio of 2.3:1, as seen in Table 1.

Clinical data in this study include indications of examination, endoscopic findings and complications. The indications for TNE examination consist of dysphagia, reflux or Globus, screening for head and neck malignancies, evaluation of esophageal foreign bodies and evaluation of tracheoesophageal fistula. Endoscopic findings include the normal esophagus, esophageal stenosis, esophageal mucosal lesions and esophageal tumors. The most indications for TNE were dysphagia, reflux or Globus (46.47%), followed by screening for head and neck malignancies (38.38%), evaluation of esophageal foreign bodies (13.13%) and evaluation of tracheoesophageal fistulas which were the least indicators obtained (2.02%) as seen in Table 2.

The most indications for TNE examination in the form of dysphagia, reflux or Globus are in the age range 51-60 years. Screening for head and neck malignancies is mostly in the age range of 51-60. Most evaluations of esophageal foreign bodies were in the 51-60 age range, as shown in Table 2.

Based on gender, TNE examination in the form of dysphagia, reflux or Globus was found in 25 male and 21 female patients. Screening for head and neck malignancies were 36 male patients and 2 female patients. Evaluation of esophageal foreign bodies in 7 male patients and 6 female patients. Tracheoesophageal fistula evaluated 1 male patient and 1 female patient. Most endoscopic findings were normal esophagus (56.57%). Other findings recorded included esophageal stenosis (18.18%), esophageal mucosal lesions (14.14%), and esophageal tumor (11.11%), as seen in Table 2.

Endoscopic findings for dysphagia, reflux or Globus indications were normal esophagus in 15 patients, esophageal stenosis in 18 patients, esophageal mucosal lesions in 3 patients and esophageal tumor in 10. In the indication for head and neck malignancy screening, the results showed normal esophagus in 37 patients and esophageal tumor in 1 patient. The indication for evaluating esophageal foreign bodies obtained normal esophageal results in 2 patients.
and esophageal mucosal lesions in 11 patients. The indication for the evaluation of tracheoesophageal fistula received normal esophageal results in 2 patients, as shown in Table 3.

In this study, the indication for TNE examination of dysphagia, reflux or Globus was the highest in esophageal stenosis. Most indications for screening for head and neck malignancies are found in the normal esophagus. The indication for evaluating esophageal foreign bodies has the highest results in esophageal mucosal lesions. The most indication for tracheoesophageal fistula evaluation was found in the normal esophagus. TNE examination in this study, as many as 99 patients found no complications.

**DISCUSSION**

Based on the age category, TNE examinations were found mostly in the age range of 51-60. The youngest patient was 16 years old, and the oldest patient was 77 years old. The mean age of the patients was 52 years. This is consistent with a study conducted by Chung EJ et al. in Korea involving 137 patients with an average patient age of 55 years. The youngest age of the study was 19 years, and the oldest was 78 years. This equation can be caused by the similarity of the indications.

Based on gender, the researchers found that most of the patients were male. The ratio of males to females is 2.3: 1. The incidence of head and neck malignancies is mostly found in males. Hence it explains why the participants of this study were dominant males. Sombuntham P et al. reported a retrospective use of TNE in Thailand as many as 58 patients, showing the same results where there were more men than women with a ratio of 2.8:1. Our study found that most research samples were head and neck malignancies as much as 60%. Another study in England on 257 patients showed different results where it was found that men were equal to women with a ratio of 1: 1. There were not many patients with head and neck malignancies in the study sample, which led to a balanced proportion of the ratio of men and women.

The most indication for TNE examination was the evaluation of dysphagia, as much as 46.47%. Dysphagia patients in this study were found to be more male than female, as much as 54%. Research by Hoy M et al. reported 96 patients, showing the same results where the most indication of TNE was an evaluation of dysphagia, as much as 79%. Another study reported that out of 100 patients with dysphagia, the majority were male, as much as 58%.

The patient characteristics of this study were dominated by the age range of 51-60 years. This shows that dysphagia is common in patients of this age range. Another reason for this age range is that many patients with head and neck malignancies undergo screening using TNE. Patients with head and neck malignancies undergoing total laryngectomy require screening for esophageal malignancy. Dysphagia is one of the swallowing problems found in older people. Dysphagia can be in the form of anatomical or physiological deficits in the mouth, pharynx, larynx and esophagus. The process of swallowing changes with age. Increasing age will cause a decrease in the quality and effectiveness of the swallowing process. The results of this study are in accordance with the literature.

Transnasal esophagoscopy with indications for evaluation of head and neck malignancies was performed on 38 patients. The role of TNE in head and neck malignancies is growing, both routine screening, suspected esophageal tumors and post-chemotherapy and radiation head and neck malignancies. TNE is the best alternative for the initial screening of esophageal malignancies in patients with head and neck malignancies. In contrast, rigid esophagoscopy is used for malignancies for which the primary is unknown and in large malignancies of the base of the tongue.

The evaluation of esophageal foreign bodies was 13.13%. The use of TNE for the extraction of foreign objects at an outpatient unit in our hospital had never been done because there were no extraction forceps available. One study reported the use of TNE for the extraction of foreign bodies. Most of the foreign body of the esophagus was successfully extracted, but 32% had to undergo rigid esophagoscopy because of the large size of the foreign body, its sharp shape and the risk of esophageal perforation. The use of TNE in diagnosing foreign bodies is superior to that of plain neck radiographs. The sensitivity and positive predictive value of plain radiographs in diagnosing the presence of foreign bodies were only 59% and 52% because most of the foreign bodies were radiolucent. Bennett AMD et al. state that TNE improved the diagnosis and management of the extraction of several types of foreign bodies compared to the rigid esophagoscopy method, but that certain foreign bodies cannot be extracted by this method because of their large size.

This study found esophageal abnormalities in 42% of the 99 patients examined by TNE. The most common abnormality was esophageal stenosis, as much as 18.18%. Other esophageal abnormalities were esophageal mucosal lesions in 14.14% and tumors in 11.11%. According to reports from several researchers, the number of positive findings is as much as 42%. Research by Chung EJ et al. reported positive findings in 38.7% of 137 patients. Meanwhile, Abou-Nader I et al. reported positive findings in 44% of 257 patients. Belafsky PC et al. reported positive findings on the TNE examination in 44% of 96 patients. Research by Shariff MK et al. Reported a strong association between Barrett's esophageal images found by TNE (98% sensitivity, 100% specificity) and conventional esophagoscopy.

There were no complications in the 99 patients who were examined using TNE in this study. The study on 257 patients reported that none of the patients had complications. A study by Postma GN et al., Reported that out of 592 patients who underwent TNE examinations in America, 2 patients had complications (0.33%).

The limitation of this study is weakness of this study is that there is only a large amount of baseline patient data that is not available regarding epidemiology which may be useful. Another weakness is the incomplete medical record, so some samples are excluded. Another weakness is that this examination is only a screening diagnostic, not therapeutic. The descriptions obtained are only from writing, not from video footage or images, so it is sometimes difficult to interpret. For this reason, it is necessary to record basic
patient data that is more complete and supplemented by video footage or images to confirm endoscopic findings with video examination.

CONCLUSION

Transnasal Esophagoscopy (TNE) is a procedure that is experienced by many patients in the 51-60 years age range, with a male-to-female ratio of 2.3:1. Dysphagia, reflux or Globus are the most common indications for transnasal esophagoscopy. In this study, there were no complications.
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